

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/440,213		11/15/1999	I-SHIN ANDY WANG	ST9-99-044	2670	
24033	7590	01/23/2004		EXAMINER		
KONRAD 315 S. BEV		S & VICTOR,	YUAN, ALMARI ROMERO			
# 210	EKLI DI	KIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BEVERLY	HILLS, (	CA 90212	2176			

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Annlin	ndiam Na	Amplicant(a)					
Office Action Summary			ation No.	Applicant(s)					
			,213	WANG, I-SHIN ANDY	WANG, I-SHIN ANDY				
			ner	Art Unit					
		Almari		2176					
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on t	the cover sheet w	ith th correspondence address					
THE - Exte aftel - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after each patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no cation. ays, a reply within the sory period will apply and, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a statutory minimum of thi d will expire SIX (6) MOI application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communic  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.				
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>26 December</u>	<u>r 2003</u> .						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)[		non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.								
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□									
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.								
7)									
8)[									
Applicat	ion Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	)□ accepted or	b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape			Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·				

Application/Control Number: 09/440,213

Art Unit: 2176

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: After Final Amendment filed on 12/26/03.
- 2. The rejection of claims 1-9, 11-19, and 21-29 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davidson has been withdrawn in light of newly found art.
- 3. The rejection of claims 10, 20, and 30 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davidson in view of Skinner has been withdrawn in light of newly found art.
- 4. Claims 1-30 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 11, and 21 are independent claims.

### Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-9, 11-19, and 21-29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Popp et al. (Pub. No. US 2002/0133637 A1 filed on 08/1995).

Application/Control Number: 09/440,213

Page 3

Art Unit: 2176

Regarding independent claim 1, Popp discloses:

A method for generating an interface to elements in a document (on page 5, paragraphs 0069-0070: teaches HTML elements are identified by the parser and an object tree is generated based on the HTML elements identified), wherein the document defines a relationship of the elements and at least one attribute for each element (on page 1, paragraph 0014: teaches each element can have attributes that specify properties of the element), comprising

generating a class implementing methods for at least one element from information provided on elements in the document (on page 2, paragraphs 0026-0027 and page 5, paragraphs 0069-0070: teaches class of objects are defined for each HTML element; an object tree is generated based on the HTML elements identified) and a mapping indicating at least one element in the document to map to a class (on page 2, paragraphs 0026-0027: teaches one-to-one mapping between each HTML element and object classes), wherein the at least one indicated element in the document for which the class is generated can be accessed and affected by the methods implemented in the class (on page 2, paragraphs 0026-0027 and page 3, paragraph 0050: teaches each object class can include methods to manipulate the HTML element within an HTML document).

Regarding dependent claim 2, Popp discloses:

wherein the mapping includes a class name for each indicated element (on page 2, paragraph 0026: teaches mapping between object class and element and on pages 4-5 paragraph 0063: teaches name of object class are corresponded to the HTML element).

Regarding dependent claim 3, Popp discloses:

Art Unit: 2176

wherein the mapping indicates a data type for at least one attribute of the indicated element (on page 1, paragraph 0014: teaches an element can have attributes that specify properties of the element and on page 6, paragraph 0079: teaches the parser identifies type of element; the object class that corresponds to the element type is identified).

## Regarding dependent claim 4, Popp discloses:

wherein the relationship of the elements in the document are arranged in a hierarchical relationship, and wherein the methods in the at least one class generated for the element allow a user to directly access and affect the element (on page 2, paragraph 0024: teaches HTML is a hierarchical language of elements and on page 2, paragraphs 0026: teaches each object class mapped to the HTML element can include methods to manipulate the HTML element within an HTML document).

#### Regarding dependent claim 5, Popp discloses:

further comprising accessing the at least one element in the document indicated in the mapping using a hierarchical application program interface (API), wherein one class is generated for each accessed element (on page 4, paragraph 0062: teaches application includes objects; wherein the objects corresponds to the HTML elements that define a WWW page. Objects are arranged in a tree structure that corresponds to the hierarchical structure of the HTML elements).

## Regarding dependent claim 6, Popp discloses:

wherein the mapping indicates an interface to generate for the class, wherein the interface defines methods to access the element for which the class is generated (on page 2, paragraphs 0026-0027: teaches methods to manipulate the HTML element within an HTML document and

Art Unit: 2176

on page 5, paragraphs 0069-0070: teaches HTML elements are identified by the parser and an object tree is generated based on the HTML elements identified).

## Regarding dependent claim 7, Popp discloses:

wherein the methods implemented in the class include at least one method that is a member of the set of methods comprising: adding an instance of the element, inserting an instance of the element at a location in the document with respect to other instances of the element in the document, and removing an instance of the element from the document (on page paragraph 0061 teaches an object class defines instance variables to store information associated with the HTML element; on page 5, paragraph 0075: teaches for each element in the HTML template, an instance of the corresponding object class is instantiated; on page 7, paragraph 0102: teaches the NSWform object class should be instantiated and inserted within the SELECT FORM group object).

## Regarding dependent claim 8, Popp discloses:

further comprising defining extended attributes of at least one element and instantiating the class for the at least one indicated element from the defined extended attributes (on page 5, paragraphs 0075-0076: teaches element attribute information is stored in the object's instance variables).

### Regarding dependent claim 9, Popp discloses:

wherein the defined extended attributes define further methods for the class (on page 3, paragraph 0050: teaches each object class can include methods to manipulate the HTML element and on page 5, paragraph 0064: teaches an object class may have a method that varies the display characteristics of the HTML element).

Application/Control Number: 09/440,213 Page 6

Art Unit: 2176

**Regarding claims 11-19 and 21-29**, the limitations of claims 11-19 and 21-29 are a system and an article of manufacture for processing the method of claims 1-9 and are rejected under the same rationale

8. Claim 10, 20, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Popp as applied to claims 1-9, 11-19, and 21-29 above, and in view of Skinner et al. (USPN 6,085,198).

Regarding dependent claims 10, 20, and 30, Popp discloses the invention substantially as claimed as described *supra*. However, Popp does not explicitly disclose "serializing defined extended attributes into memory, wherein the defined extended attributes are capable of being describing describing from the memory".

Skinner et al. (Skinner on co. 10, line 53 – col. 11, line 16: teaches serializing objects with attributes names and types and which can later be describilized.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provide a way to serialize objects with attribute names and types and also capable descrializing object, as taught by Skinner, incorporated into the object of Popp in order to provide a useful mechanism for object persistence and transmission.

### Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2176

## Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Almari Yuan whose telephone number is (703) 305-5945. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays - Fridays (8:30am - 5:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on (703) 305-9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

AY January 23, 2004 JOSEPH H. FEILD PRIMARY EXAMINER